

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 8162 01/16/2004 Johann Karner H60-107 DIV 10/759,611 **EXAMINER** 04/10/2006 7590 LUND, JEFFRIE ROBERT NOTARO & MICHALOS P.C. Suite 110 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 100 Dutch Hill Road Orangeburg, NY 10962-2100 1763

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/759,611	KARNER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeffrie R. Lund	1763		

<i></i>	LAdiiiiioi	Ait office .	į	
	Jeffrie R. Lund	1763		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress	
 THE REPLY FILED <u>31 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF		•		
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th		
AMENDMENTS				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	onsideration and/or search (see NO	<del></del>	ecause	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.				
Claim(s) rejected. <u>7-0.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a North date of the affidation	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evide <u>nce is</u>	ot be entered s necessary and	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	-		•	
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by The arguments do not overcome the art of record.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:	
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	0	
13.  Other:	•	LML	I	
		Jeffrie R. Lund Primary Examiner	(	
		. ,		

Art Unit: 1763